

## **PATENT**

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Ochoa et al.

Serial No.: 09/940,010

**Filed:** August 27, 2001

For: SEMICONDUCTOR INTEGRATED CIRCUIT HAVING COMPRESSION CIRCUITRY FOR COMPRESSING TEST DATA, AND THE TEST SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR UTILIZING THE SEMICONDUCTOR INTEGRATED

**CIRCUIT** 

Confirmation No.: 3702

Examiner: S. Chase

Group Art Unit: 2133

Attorney Docket No.: 3011.3US

(92-0657.4)

Notice of Allowance Mailed:

September 10, 2002

NOTICE OF EXPRESS MAILING

Express Mail Mailing Label Number:	EV209816220US
Date of Deposit with USPS:	December 3, 2002
erson making Deposit:	Matthew Wooton

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Box ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicants agree with the Examiner's statement that "the prior arts of record taken alone or in combination discloses various methods of testing a semiconductor integrated circuit

Serial No.: 09/940,010

utilizing a testing device and an interface circuit; however the prior art of record fail to teach the novel elements of the instant invention."

However, Applicants note that some of the Examiner's reasons for allowance, with respect to statements made regarding specific claims, do not precisely track the claim language therein.

For example, with respect to claims 1 and 2, Applicants note that the load board interface does not itself include a plurality of input/output nodes as suggested by the Examiner. Rather, claim 1 recites that the plurality of sets of interface nodes of the load board interface are "configured to connect to corresponding input/output nodes of a semiconductor circuit." Further, claim 2 simply recites that the each set of the interface nodes of the load board interface are "configured for electrical coupling with an individual semiconductor integrated circuit."

Additionally, with respect to claim 6, it is noted that the claim recites "at least one" test station and "at least one" load board interface.

Applicants further submit that, while the dependent claims are allowable as being dependent from an allowable base claim, such claims may also include subject matter which further defines over the prior art of record. Thus, Applicants submit that the scope of each individual claim is to be determined on its own merits based on the literal language set forth therein and equivalents thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

Bradley B. Jensen

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 46,801

TRASKBRITT

P.O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110

(801) 532-1922

Date: December 3, 2002

BBJ/hlg:djp

N:\2269\3011.3\comments on reasons for ellowance.wpd